



# Study on the degenerate higher-order new Fubini-type numbers and polynomials

Hye Kyung Kim <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Daegu Catholic University, Gyeongsan 38430, Republic of Korea

## Abstract

In this paper, we first consider the new two-variables Fubini-type numbers and polynomials of the order  $r$  arising from the fermionic  $p$ -adic integral on  $\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p}_{r\text{-times}}$  and those degenerate version. We derive some interesting properties and recurrence relations on

those numbers and polynomials. Second, we study the degenerate new two-variables Fubini-type numbers and polynomials of order  $r$  as one of the generalizations of these new two-variables Fubini-type numbers and polynomials by using the  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequences. When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain interesting identities for those degenerate new two-variables Fubini-type numbers and polynomials of order  $r$ . Some of them include the degenerate and other special polynomials and numbers such as the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and numbers of order  $s$ , the degenerate Euler polynomials and numbers of order  $s$ , the degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order  $r$ , the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials, and so on.

**Keywords:** 05A19, 11B73, 05A40

**2020 MSC:** Stirling numbers of the second kind, Bell numbers and polynomials,  $p$ -adic fermionic integral, two-variables Fubini numbers and polynomials,  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequences

## 1. Introduction

Many mathematicians have studied degenerate versions of some special polynomials and numbers considering a person's psychological burden or the surrounding environment, and they've discovered some interesting results (cf. [1, 10, 12, 14, 18]). Furthermore, one of the important tools for finding the combinatorial identities for the degenerate version of special numbers and polynomials is the umbral calculus (cf. [7, 10, 12, 21, 23]). The Fubini numbers and polynomials also play important role in connecting relationship between special numbers and polynomials. In particular, the generating function of two-variables Fubini numbers and polynomials can be represented by the fermionic  $p$ -adic integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  can be represented by the  $p$ -adic Volkenborn integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (cf. [15, 16]). Various identities of two-variables Fubini numbers and polynomials have been studied in [8, 9, 13, 18, 27].

Recently, we considered the new two-variables Fubini-type numbers and polynomials and studied their interesting properties. In this paper, we first define the new two-variables Fubini-type numbers and polynomials of the order  $r$  arising from the fermionic  $p$ -adic integral on  $\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_p}_{r\text{-times}}$  and those degenerate version. We explore recurrence

†Article ID: MTJPAM-D-23-00006

Email address: hkkim@cu.ac.kr (Hye Kyung Kim)

Received:7 April 2023, Accepted:31 May 2023, Published:10 July 2023

\*Corresponding Author: Hye Kyung Kim



relations on those numbers. Second, we study the representations of these new polynomials as linear combinations of well-known special polynomials (such as the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and numbers of order  $s$ , the degenerate Euler polynomials and numbers of order  $s$ , the degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order  $r$ , the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials, and so on) by using degenerate Sheffer sequences.

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of real numbers. For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the degenerate exponential function is defined by

$$e_{\lambda}^x(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x)_{n,\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $(x)_{0,\lambda} = 1$  and  $(x)_{n,\lambda} = x(x - \lambda) \cdots (x - (n - 1)\lambda)$ , ( $n \geq 1$ ) (cf. [10, 12, 14, 17, 18]).

We note that

$$(1 - t)^{-m} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{-m}{l} (-1)^l t^l = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle m \rangle_l \frac{t^l}{l!}, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\langle x \rangle_0 = 1$  and  $\langle x \rangle_n = x(x + 1)(x + 2) \cdots (x + n - 1)$ , ( $n \geq 1$ ) (cf. [3]).

The degenerate Stirling numbers of the first kind are given by

$$(x)_n = \sum_{l=0}^n S_{1,\lambda}(n, l)(x)_{l,\lambda} \tag{1.3}$$

and the generating function

$$\frac{1}{k!} (\log_{\lambda}(1 + t))^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $(x)_0 = 1$  and  $(x)_n = x(x - 1) \cdots (x - (n - 1))$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), and  $\log_{\lambda}(e_{\lambda}(t)) = t = e_{\lambda}(\log_{\lambda}(t))$  (cf. [10, 12, 17, 18]).

The degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind are given by

$$(x)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{l=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n, l)(x)_l, \quad (n \geq 0) \tag{1.5}$$

and the generating function

$$\frac{1}{k!} (e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (k \geq 0) \tag{1.6}$$

(cf. [10, 12, 14, 17, 18]).

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,  $S_2(n, k)$  are the Stirling numbers of the second kind.

By (1.6), we easily get

$$S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} (j)_{n,\lambda}. \tag{1.7}$$

It is well known that the degenerate Bell polynomials are given by

$$\phi_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n, k)x^k, \tag{1.8}$$

and the generating function of them

$$e^{x(e_{\lambda}(t)-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

(cf. [17]).

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\phi_n(x)$  are the Bell polynomials.

Let  $B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) be the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials of the order  $s$  given by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1}\right)^s e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (1.9)$$

(cf. [3, 12]).

When  $s = 1$ ,  $B_{n,\lambda}(x)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) are called the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials.

The degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order  $s$  are defined by

$$\left(\frac{1-u}{e_\lambda(t) - u}\right)^s e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x|u) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (u \neq 1, u \in \mathbb{C}, k \geq 0) \quad (1.10)$$

(cf. [3, 12]).

When  $x = 0$ ,  $h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(u) = h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(0|u)$  are called the degenerate Frobenius-Euler numbers of order  $s$ .

When  $x = 0$  and  $s = 1$ ,  $h_{n,\lambda}(u) = h_{n,\lambda}(0|u)$  are called the degenerate Frobenius-Euler numbers.

When  $u = -1$ , the degenerate Euler polynomials of order  $s$ , respectively are given by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{2}{e_\lambda(t) + 1}\right)^s e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (1.11)$$

(cf. [3, 10]).

We note that  $E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)} = E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(0)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), are called the degenerate Euler numbers of order  $r$ .

When  $x = 0$  and  $s = 1$ ,  $E_{n,\lambda} = E_{n,\lambda}(0)$  are called the degenerate Euler numbers.

The unsigned Lah number  $L(n, k)$  counts the number of ways of all distributions of  $n$  balls, labelled  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , among  $k$  unlabelled, contents-ordered boxes, with no box left empty and have an explicit formula

$$\mathbf{L}(n, k) = \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{n!}{k!} \quad (1.12)$$

(cf. [3]).

From (1.12), the generating function of  $L(n, k)$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} L(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (k \geq 0) \quad (1.13)$$

(cf. [3]).

From (1.13), the Lah-Bell polynomials and the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials respectively are given by

$$e^{x\left(\frac{1}{1-t}-1\right)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} BL_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (n, k \geq 0) \quad (1.14)$$

(cf. [12]), and

$$e_\lambda^x\left(\frac{1}{1-t} - 1\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} BL_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (n, k \geq 0) \quad (1.15)$$

(cf. [12]).

When  $x = 1$ ,  $BL_n = BL_n(1)$  are called the Lah-Bell numbers.

When  $x = 1$ ,  $BL_{n,\lambda} := BL_{n,\lambda}(1)$  are called the  $n$ -th degenerate Lah-Bell numbers.

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} BL_{n,\lambda} = BL_n$  are the  $n$ -th Lah-Bell numbers.

Cayley [2] considered the ordered Bell numbers (Fubini numbers) which used them to count certain plane trees with  $n + 1$  totally ordered leaves. The ordered Bell numbers are given by

$$\beta_n = \sum_{k=0}^n k! S_2(n, k), \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{1.16}$$

We note that the generating function of  $\beta_n$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2 - e^t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{1.17}$$

(cf. [3]).

The ordered Bell polynomials are defined by the generating function

$$\frac{1}{2 - e^t} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{1.18}$$

(cf. [3, 27]).

The gamma function  $\Gamma(s)$  belongs to the category of the special transcendental functions given by

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt = (s - 1)! \tag{1.19}$$

(cf. [3]).

The higher-order two variables Fubini polynomials are given by

$$\left( \frac{1}{1 - y(e^t - 1)} \right)^r e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n^{(r)}(y | x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{1.20}$$

(cf. [11, 18, 27]).

When  $x = 0$ ,  $F_n^{(r)}(y) = F_n^{(r)}(y | 0)$  are called the higher-order two variables Fubini numbers.

The degenerate higher-order two variables Fubini polynomials are given by

$$\left( \frac{1}{1 - y(e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)} \right)^r e_{\lambda}^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{1.21}$$

(cf. [11, 18]).

When  $x = 0$ ,  $F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) = F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | 0)$  are called the degenerate higher-order two variables Fubini numbers.

The  $p$ -adic analysis and their applications utilize  $p$ -adic distributions and  $p$ -adic measure,  $p$ -adic integrals,  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function, and other generalized functions. Among these, the  $p$ -adic integral and its applications are very important in finding solutions to special (differential) equations, real problems in both physics and engineering (cf. [9, 15, 16, 24, 26, 28]).

Let  $p$  be a prime number with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  will denote the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, the field of  $p$ -adic rational numbers and the completion of algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $|\cdot|_p$  be the  $p$ -adic norm with  $|p|_p = \frac{1}{p}$ .

For a  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued continuous function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , Kim [15, 16] introduced the  $p$ -adic fermionic integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-1}(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_{-1}(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x) \mu_{-1}(x + p^N \mathbb{Z}_p) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x) (-1)^x \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

(cf. [15, 16]).

Let  $f_n(x) = f(x + n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (1.22), we observe that

$$I_{-1}(f_n) + (-1)^{n-1}I_{-1}(f) = 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-l} f(l) \tag{1.23}$$

(cf. [15, 16]).

In (1.22), when  $n = 1$ , we have

$$I_{-1}(f_1) + I_{-1}(f) = 2f(0). \tag{1.24}$$

We naturally consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (y(e^t + 1))^z e^{xt} d\mu_{-1}(z) = \frac{2e^{xt}}{1 + y(e^t + 1)} \tag{1.25}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^z e_\lambda^x(t) d\mu_{-1}(z) = \frac{2e_\lambda^x(t)}{1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1)}. \tag{1.26}$$

When  $x = 0$  in (1.25) and (1.26) respectively, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (y(e^t + 1))^z d\mu_{-1}(z) = \frac{2}{1 + y(e^t + 1)} \tag{1.27}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^z d\mu_{-1}(z) = \frac{2}{1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1)} \tag{1.28}$$

(cf. [18]).

From (1.25), we consider the two variables Fubini-type polynomials of the first kind given by

$$\frac{1}{1 + y(e^t + 1)} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n(y | x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{1.29}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $J_n(y) = J_n(y | 0)$  are called the Fubini-type numbers of the first kind.

From (1.26), we naturally the degenerate two variables Fubini-type polynomials of the first kind given by

$$\frac{1}{1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1)} e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{n,\lambda}(y | x) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{1 + 2y} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(-\frac{y}{1 + 2y}\right)^k k! S_{2,\lambda}(n, k). \tag{1.30}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $J_{n,\lambda}(y) = J_{n,\lambda}(y | 0)$  are called the degenerate Fubini-type numbers of the first kind.

## 2. The new higher order Fubini-type numbers and polynomials

Now, we consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (y(e^t + 1))^{z_1+z_2+\cdots+z_r} e^{xt} d\mu_{-1}(z_1) d\mu_{-1}(z_2) \cdots d\mu_{-1}(z_r) = \left(\frac{2}{1 + y(e^t + 1)}\right)^r e^{xt} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^{z_1+z_2+\cdots+z_r} e_\lambda^x(t) d\mu_{-1}(z_1) d\mu_{-1}(z_2) \cdots d\mu_{-1}(z_r) = \left(\frac{2}{1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1)}\right)^r e_\lambda^x(t). \tag{2.2}$$

For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , from (2.1), the new two-variables Fubini-type polynomials of order  $r$  are defined by

$$\left(\frac{1}{1+y(e^t+1)}\right)^r e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n^{(r)}(y|x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.3}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $J_n^{(r)}(y) = J_n^{(r)}(y|0)$  are called the new Fubini-type numbers of order  $r$ .

In [8], Kilar-Simsek considered a new family of polynomials  $a_n^{(l)}(x)$  by means of the generating function

$$F_a(x; t, l) = \frac{2^l}{(2-e^t)^{2l}} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(l)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.5) and (2.4), we note that

$$J_n^{(2r)}\left(-\frac{1}{3} \mid x\right) = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^r a_n^r(x).$$

From (2.2), the degenerate new two-variables Fubini-type polynomials of order  $r$  are defined by

$$\left(\frac{1}{1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1)}\right)^r e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y|x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.5}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) = J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y|0)$  are called the degenerate new Fubini-type numbers of order  $r$ .

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,  $J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y|x) = J_n^{(r)}(y|x)$  are the new two-variables Fubini-type numbers of order  $r$ .

From (1.1) and (2.5), we note that

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} J_{l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y)(x)_{n-l,\lambda} = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} J_{n-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y)(x)_{l,\lambda}. \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.5) for  $x = 0$ , we observe that

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} J_{n-j,\lambda}(y) J_{j,\lambda}^{(r-1)}(y).$$

The degenerate ordered Bell polynomials of the order  $r$  are given by the generating function

$$\left(\frac{1}{2-e_\lambda(t)}\right)^r e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{2.7}$$

(cf. [3]).

When  $x = 0$ ,  $\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(r)} = \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(0)$  are called the degenerate ordered Bell numbers.

When  $r = 1$ ,  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \beta_{n,\lambda}^{(1)}(x)$  are called the degenerate ordered Bell numbers.

When  $y = -\frac{1}{3}$ , for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , from (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}\left(-\frac{1}{3} \mid x\right) = 3F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(1|x) = 3\beta_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(x).$$

**Theorem 2.1.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l l! \binom{l+r-1}{l} S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) y^l (1+2y)^{-(l+r)}.$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$J_n^{(r)}(y) = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l l! \binom{l+r-1}{l} S_2(n, l) y^l (1+2y)^{-(l+r)}.$$

*Proof.* By (1.2), (1.6) and (2.5), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= (1+2y)^{-r} \left(1 + \frac{y}{1+2y} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)\right)^{-r} \\
 &= (1+2y)^{-r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \binom{r}{l} \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^l \frac{1}{l!} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^l \\
 &= (1+2y)^{-r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \binom{r}{l} \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^l \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\
 &= (1+2y)^{-r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{l+r-1}{l} l! S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) \frac{y^l}{1+2y} \frac{t^n}{n!}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Comparing the coefficients of the both sides of (2.8), we have the desired identity. □

By (1.21) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \left(\frac{1}{1+2y}\right)^r \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{y}{1+2y} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)}\right)^r \\
 &= (1+2y)^{-r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)} \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

By using (2.9), we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) = (1+2y)^{-r} F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}\left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right).$$

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $n \geq 0$  and  $x \neq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) x^r = \frac{(1+2y)x}{1+2y-x} J_{n,\lambda}\left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} J_n^{(r)}(y) x^r = \frac{(1+2y)x}{1+2y-x} J_n\left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) x^r &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{l+r-1}{l} l! S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) y^l \left(\frac{1}{1+2y}\right)^{l+r} x^r \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l l! S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^l \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{l+r}{l} \left(\frac{x}{1+2y}\right)^{r+1} \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l l! S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) \left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right)^l \left(\frac{x}{1+2y}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{1+2y}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{(1+2y)x}{1+2y-x} J_{n,\lambda}\left(\frac{y}{1+2y}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

□

**Theorem 2.4.** For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^r \int_0^\infty z^{r-1} \phi_{n,\lambda} \left( -\frac{yz}{1+2y} \right) e^{-z} dz.$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$J_n^{(r)}(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^r \int_0^\infty z^{r-1} \phi_n \left( -\frac{yz}{1+2y} \right) e^{-z} dz.$$

*Proof.* From (1.8) and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^r \int_0^\infty z^{r-1} \phi_{n,\lambda} \left( -\frac{yz}{1+2y} \right) e^{-z} dz &= \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^r \sum_{l=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) (-1)^l \left( \frac{y}{1+2y} \right)^l \int_0^\infty z^{r-1+l} e^{-z} dz \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) y^l \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^{r+l} (r+l-1)! \\ &= \Gamma(r) \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l S_{2,\lambda}(n, l) y^l \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^{r+l} l! \binom{r+l-1}{l} = \Gamma(r) J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.11), we have the desired result. □

From Theorem 2.1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1)} \right)^r &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^r \int_0^\infty z^{r-1} \phi_{n,\lambda} \left( -\frac{yz}{1+2y} \right) e^{-z} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \left( \frac{1}{1+2y} \right)^r \int_0^\infty z^{r-1} e^{-z \left( \frac{y}{1+2y} (e_\lambda(t)-1) + 1 \right)} dz. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

**Theorem 2.5.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$r(1+y)J_{n,\lambda}^{(r+1)}(y) = J_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) + (\lambda n - 1)J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$r(1+y)J_n^{(r+1)}(y) = J_{n+1}^{(r)}(y) - J_n^{(r)}(y).$$

*Proof.* Differentiating both sides of (2.5) with respect to  $t$ , we have

$$\frac{-rye_\lambda^{1-\lambda}(t)}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^{r+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty J_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.13}$$

By using the left-hand side of (2.13), we observe that

$$\frac{-rye_\lambda^{1-\lambda}(t)}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^{r+1}} = \frac{r}{1+\lambda t} \left[ (1+y) \sum_{n=0}^\infty J_{n,\lambda}^{(r+1)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^\infty J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} \right]. \tag{2.14}$$

From (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$(1+\lambda t) \sum_{n=0}^\infty J_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = r \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left[ (1+y)J_{n,\lambda}^{(r+1)}(y) - J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right] \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.15), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty J_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^\infty n J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = r \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left[ (1+y)J_{n,\lambda}^{(r+1)}(y) - J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right] \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.16}$$



By (2.16), we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} + \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ (1+y) J_{n,\lambda}^{(r+1)}(y) - J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right] \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{2.17}$$

By (2.17), we obtain the desired identity. □

**Theorem 2.6.** For  $n \geq 0$  and  $r > 0$ , we have

$$F_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) = r(1+y) \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} F_{n-l,\lambda}(y) F_{l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) - (\lambda n + r) F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$F_{n+1}^{(r)}(y) = r(1+y) \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} F_{n-l}(y) F_l^{(r)}(y) - r F_n^{(r)}(y).$$

*Proof.* From (2.13), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-r y e_\lambda^{1-y}(t)}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^{r+1}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{r}{1+\lambda t} \left( \frac{1+y}{1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1)} \right)^r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Multiply  $(1 + \lambda t)$  in (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= r(1+y) \left( \frac{1+y}{1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1)} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1)} \right)^r - r \left( \frac{1}{1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1)} \right)^r \\ &= r(1+y) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} F_{n-l,\lambda}(y) F_{l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} - r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

The left-side hand of (2.19) is

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ F_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) + \lambda n F_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right\} \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

By (2.19) and (2.20), we have the desired result. □

### 3. Some identities of the higher-order degenerate Fubini-type polynomials arising from $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequences

The umbral calculus, based on the modern idea of linear functions, linear operators and adjoints, began to build a rigorous foundation by Rota in the 1970s, primarily as symbolic techniques for the manipulation of numerical and polynomial sequences (cf. [4, 5, 7, 10, 12], [19]-[23]). Umbral calculus is one of the important methods for obtaining the symmetric identities for the degenerate version of special numbers and polynomials (cf. [10, 12]). Recently, Kim-Kim [10] introduced the  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequence and the degenerate Sheffer sequence.

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex number field and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all power series in the variable  $t$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  with

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $\mathbb{P}^*$  be the vector space all linear functional on  $\mathbb{P}$ .

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \{ P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] \mid \deg P(x) \leq n \}, \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Then  $\mathbb{P}_n$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For  $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \in \mathcal{F}$  and a fixed nonzero real number  $\lambda$ , each  $\lambda$  gives rise to the linear functional  $\langle f(t) \mid \cdot \rangle_\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{P}$ , called  $\lambda$ -linear functional given by  $f(t)$ , which is defined by

$$\langle f(t) \mid (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda = a_n, \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$  (cf. [10]).

For  $\lambda = 0$ , we observe that the linear functional  $\langle f(t) \mid \cdot \rangle_0$  agrees with the one in  $\langle f(t) \mid x^n \rangle = a_n, (k \geq 0)$ .

From  $\langle f(t)g(t) \mid (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda = \langle f(t) \mid (g(t))_\lambda(x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda$  and (1.16), we note that

$$\langle t^k \mid (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda = \langle 1 \mid (t^k)_\lambda(x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda = \langle 1 \mid (n)_k(x)_{n-k,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda = n! \delta_{n,k}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $n, k \geq 0$ , where  $\delta_{n,k}$  is the Kronecker's symbol.

From (3.2), for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and each nonnegative integer  $k$ , the differential operator on  $\mathbb{P}$  is given by

$$(t^k)_\lambda(x)_{n,\lambda} = \begin{cases} (n)_k(x)_{n-k,\lambda}, & \text{if } k \leq n \\ 0, & \text{if } k \geq n \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

(cf. [10]), and for any power series  $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$(f(t))_\lambda(x)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k (x)_{n-k,\lambda}, \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{3.4}$$

By (1.1) and (3.4), we note that

$$(x + y)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x)_{n-k,\lambda} (y)_{k,\lambda}. \tag{3.5}$$

From (1.1) and (3.5), it is easy to see that

$$(e_\lambda^y(t))_\lambda p(x) = p(x + y), \text{ for any } p(x) \in \mathbb{P}. \tag{3.6}$$

The order  $o(f(t))$  of a power series  $f(t) (\neq 0)$  is the smallest integer  $k$  for which the coefficient of  $t^k$  does not vanish. The series  $f(t)$  is called invertible if  $o(f(t)) = 0$ .  $f(t)$  is called a delta series if  $o(f(t)) = 1$  and it has a compositional inverse  $\bar{f}(t)$  of  $f(t)$  with  $\bar{f}(f(t)) = f(\bar{f}(t)) = t$ .

Let  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  be a delta series and an invertible series, respectively, and  $s_{n,\lambda}(x)$  be a degenerate polynomial of a degree  $n$ . Then there exists a unique sequences  $s_{n,\lambda}(x)$  such that the orthogonality conditions

$$\langle g(t)(f(t))^k \mid s_{n,\lambda}(x) \rangle_\lambda = n! \delta_{n,k}, \quad (n, k \geq 0) \tag{3.7}$$

(cf. [10]).

The sequences  $s_{n,\lambda}(x)$  are called the  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequences for  $(g(t), f(t))$ , which are denoted by  $s_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))_\lambda$ .

The sequence  $s_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))_\lambda$  if and only if

$$\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e_\lambda^x(\bar{f}(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{k,\lambda}(x)}{k!} t^k \quad (n, k \geq 0) \tag{3.8}$$

(cf. [10]).

Assume that for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$  of the set of nonzero real numbers,  $s_{n,\lambda}(x)$  is  $\lambda$ -Sheffer for  $(g_\lambda(t), f_\lambda(t))$ . Assume also that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} f_\lambda(t) = f(t)$  and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} g_\lambda(t) = g(t)$ , for some delta series  $f(t)$  and an invertible series  $g(t)$ . Then  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \bar{f}_\lambda(t) = \bar{f}(t)$ , where  $\bar{f}$  is the compositional inverse of  $f$  with  $\bar{f}(f(t)) = f(\bar{f}(t)) = t$ . Let  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} s_{k,\lambda}(x) = s_k(x)$ .

In this case, the family  $\{s_{n,\lambda}(x)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* \setminus \{0\}}$  of  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequences  $s_{n,\lambda}$  are called the degenerate (Sheffer) sequences for the Sheffer polynomial  $s_n(x)$ .

Let  $s_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))_\lambda$  and  $r_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim (h(t), g(t))_\lambda$ , ( $n \geq 0$ ). Then

$$s_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mu_{n,k} r_{k,\lambda}(x), \quad (n \geq 0), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\mu_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{h(\bar{f}(t))}{g(\bar{f}(t))} (l(\bar{f}(t)))^k \mid (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda, \quad (n, k \geq 0)$$

(cf. [10]).

Now, we will express the two variable higher-order degenerate Fubini-type polynomials  $J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x)$  as linear combinations of some well-known special polynomials by using the degenerate umbra calculus.

First, from (2.5), we have the  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequence as follows:

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x) \sim ((1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r, t)_\lambda. \tag{3.10}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$J_{n+1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x) = -ryJ_{n,\lambda}^{(r+1)}(y \mid 1 - \lambda + x) + xJ_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x - \lambda).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$J_{n+1}^{(r)}(y \mid x) = -ryJ_n^{(r+1)}(y \mid 1 + x) + xJ_n^{(r)}(y \mid x).$$

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from (2.5) and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x) &= \left\langle \frac{e_\lambda^x(t)}{(1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r} \mid (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \left\langle \partial t \frac{1}{(1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r} e_\lambda^x(t) \mid (x)_{n-1,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda + \left\langle \frac{1}{(1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r} \partial t(e_\lambda^x(t)) \mid (x)_{n-1,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= -ryJ_{n-1,\lambda}^{(r+1)}(y \mid 1 - \lambda + x) + xJ_{n-1,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x - \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.11), we have the desired result. □

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y \mid x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} S_{2,\lambda}(l, j) J_{n-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right) (x)_n.$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$J_n^{(r)}(y \mid x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} S_2(l, j) J_{n-l}^{(r)}(y) \right) (x)_n.$$

*Proof.* We consider the degenerate Sheffer sequence

$$(x)_n \sim (1, e_\lambda(t) - 1)_\lambda, \tag{3.12}$$

since  $(1 + t)^n = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (x)_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$ .  
 From (3.9) and (3.12),

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y|x) = \sum_{j=0}^n z_{n,j}(x)_n, \tag{3.13}$$

where, by (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n,j} &= \frac{1}{j!} \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1)^r} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^j | (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} S_{2,\lambda}(l, j) J_{n-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Combining (3.13) with (3.14), we have the desired representation. □

**Theorem 3.3.** For  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , we have

$$(x)_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} \binom{r}{n-l} y^{n-l} (n-l)! S_{1,\lambda}(n, j) \right\} J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y|x).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$(x)_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \left\{ \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} \binom{r}{n-l} y^{n-l} (n-l)! S_{1,\lambda}(n, j) \right\} J_j^{(r)}(y|x).$$

*Proof.* We consider two degenerate Sheffer sequences:

$$(x)_n \sim (1, e_\lambda(t) - 1)_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y|x) \sim ((1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r, t). \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.9) and (3.15), we have

$$(x)_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_{n,j} J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y|x), \tag{3.16}$$

where, for  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , by (1.4), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n,j} &= \frac{1}{j!} \langle (1 + yt)^r (\log_\lambda(1 + t))^j | (x)_{n,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} S_{1,\lambda}(n, j) \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{r}{m} y^m \langle t^m | (x)_{n-l,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} S_{1,\lambda}(n, j) \binom{r}{n-l} y^{n-l} (n-l)!. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Combining (3.16) with (3.17), we obtain the desired identity. □

From (1.9) and (3.8), we get

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) \sim \left( \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t) - 1}{t} \right)^s, t \right)_\lambda. \tag{3.18}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** For  $n \geq 0$  and  $r > 0$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{l} \frac{(1)_{l+1,\lambda}}{(l+1)} J_{n-j-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right) B_{j,\lambda}(x),$$

where  $B_{n,\lambda}(x)$  are the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials.

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$J_n^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{l} \frac{(1)_{l+1}}{(l+1)} J_{n-j-l}^{(r)}(y) \right) B_j(x),$$

where  $B_n(x)$  are the Bernoulli polynomials.

*Proof.* From (3.10) and (3.18), we consider two degenerate Sheffer sequences as follows:

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) \sim ((1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r, t)_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad B_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t) - 1}{t}, t \right)_\lambda. \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.9) and (3.19),

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n z_{n,j} B_{j,\lambda}(x), \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n,j} &= \frac{1}{j!} \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1)^r} \frac{e_\lambda(t) - 1}{t} t^j \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \binom{n}{j} \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1)^r} \frac{e_\lambda(t) - 1}{t} \middle| (x)_{n-j,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{l} \frac{(1)_{l+1,\lambda}}{(l+1)} J_{n-j-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Combining (3.20) with (3.21), we have the desired identity. □

To obtain the inverse formula of Theorem 3.4, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , we have

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x),$$

where

$$a_j = \frac{1}{j!} \langle (1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r t^j | p(x) \rangle_\lambda.$$

*Proof.* For  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , we put

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x). \tag{3.22}$$

By (3.7) and (3.10), for  $0 \leq l \leq n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r t^l | p(x) \rangle_\lambda &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \langle (1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r t^l | J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) \rangle_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j l! \delta_{j,l} = a_l l!. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

By (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain the desired result. □

By using Lemma 3.5, we obtain the inverse formula of Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $p(x) = B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . Then we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r (1+y)^{r-l} y^l B_{n-j,\lambda}^{(s)}(l) \right] J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x),$$

where  $B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x)$  are the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials of order  $s$ .

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$B_n^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r (1+y)^{r-l} y^l B_{n-j}^{(s)}(l) \right] J_j^{(r)}(y | x),$$

where  $B_n^{(s)}(x)$  are the Bernoulli polynomials of order  $s$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x)$ . Then, by using Lemma 3.5, we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x), \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= \frac{1}{j!} \langle (1+y(e_\lambda(t) - 1))^r t^j | B_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) \rangle_\lambda \\ &= \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r (1+y)^{r-l} y^l B_{n-j,\lambda}^{(s)}(l). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Combining (3.24) with (3.25), we get the desired result. □

**Theorem 3.7.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \sum_{l=0}^s \binom{n-j}{m} \frac{1}{(1-u)^s} (-u)^{s-l} J_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(y)(x+l)_{n-j-m,\lambda} \right] h_{j,\lambda}^{(s)}(x | u).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$J_n^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \sum_{l=0}^s \binom{n-j}{m} \frac{1}{(1-u)^s} (-u)^{s-l} J_m^{(r)}(y)(x+l)_{n-j-m} \right] h_j^{(s)}(x | u).$$

*Proof.* From (3.8) and (1.10) we have the Sheffer sequence for  $h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x | u)$  as follows:

$$\left( \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t) - u}{1-u} \right)^s, t \right)_\lambda \sim h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x | u). \tag{3.26}$$

By (3.9), (3.10), and (3.26), we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n z_{n,j} h_{j,\lambda}^{(s)}(x | u), \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_{n,j} &= \frac{1}{j!} \left\langle \frac{1}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^r} \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t)-u}{1-u} \right)^s t^j \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\
 &= \binom{n}{j} \left\langle \frac{1}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^r} \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t)-u}{1-u} \right)^s \middle| (x)_{n-j,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\
 &= \binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{m} J_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{1}{(1-u)^s} \sum_{l=0}^s (-u)^{s-l} \langle e_\lambda^l(t) | (x)_{n-j-m,\lambda} \rangle_\lambda \\
 &= \binom{n}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{n-j} \binom{n-j}{m} J_{m,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \frac{1}{(1-u)^s} \sum_{l=0}^s (-u)^{s-l} (x+D)_{n-j-m,\lambda}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Combining (3.27) with (3.28), we have the desired representation. □

Next theorem is the inverse formula of Theorem 3.7.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $p(x) = h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . Then we have

$$h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (1+y)^l y^l h_{n-j,\lambda}^{(s)}(l) \right] J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$h_n^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (1+y)^l y^l h_{n-j}^{(s)}(l) \right] J_j^{(r)}(y | x).$$

*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x), \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_j &= \frac{1}{j!} \langle (1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^r t^j | h_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) \rangle_\lambda \\
 &= \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (1+y)^{r-l} y^l h_{n-j,\lambda}^{(s)}(l).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Combining (3.29) with (3.30), we have the desired identity. □

**Corollary 3.9.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \frac{1}{2^s} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^s \binom{n}{j} \binom{s}{l} J_{n-j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | l) E_{j,\lambda}^{(s)}(x)$$

and

$$E_{n,\lambda}^{(s)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{n}{j} (1+y)^{r-l} y^l E_{n-j,\lambda}^{(s)}(l) J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x).$$

Now, we consider a connection to the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials.

**Theorem 3.10.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l L(l, j) J_{n-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right] BL_{j,\lambda}(x).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$J_n^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l L(l, j) J_{n-l}^{(r)}(y) \right] BL_j(x),$$

where,  $L(n, k)$  are the unsigned Lah numbers.

*Proof.* From (3.9) and (1.15), we have the Sheffer sequence for  $BL_{n,\lambda}(x)$  as follows:

$$BL_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim \left( 1, \frac{t}{1+t} \right)_\lambda. \tag{3.31}$$

By using (3.9), (3.10) and (3.31), we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n z_{n,j} BL_{j,\lambda}(x), \tag{3.32}$$

where, from (1.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n,j} &= \frac{1}{j!} \left\langle \frac{1}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^r} \left( \frac{t}{1+t} \right)^j \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^r} \frac{1}{j!} (-1)^j \left( \frac{-t}{1-(-t)} \right)^j \middle| (x)_{n,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=j}^n (-1)^j L(l, j) \binom{n}{l} \left\langle \frac{1}{(1+y(e_\lambda(t)+1))^r} \middle| (x)_{n-l,\lambda} \right\rangle_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} (-1)^j L(l, j) J_{n-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Combining (3.32) with (3.33), we have

$$J_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \sum_{l=j}^n \binom{n}{l} (-1)^l L(l, j) J_{n-l,\lambda}^{(r)}(y) \right] BL_{j,\lambda}(x).$$

□

Next theorem is the inverse formula of Theorem 3.10 .

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $p(x) = BL_{n,\lambda}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . Then we have

$$BL_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (1+y)^l y^l BL_{n-j,\lambda} \right) J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x).$$

When  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$BL_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (1+y)^l y^l BL_{n-j} \right) J_j^{(r)}(y | x).$$



*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = BL_{n,\lambda}(x)$ . Then by using Lemma 3.5, we have

$$BL_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j J_{j,\lambda}^{(r)}(y | x), \tag{3.34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= \frac{1}{j!} \langle (1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r t^j | BL_{n,\lambda}(x) \rangle_\lambda \\ &= \binom{n}{j} \langle (1 + y(e_\lambda(t) + 1))^r | BL_{n-j,\lambda}(x) \rangle_\lambda \\ &= \binom{n}{j} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} (1 + y)^l y^l BL_{n-j,\lambda}(l). \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Combining (3.34) with (3.35), we have the inverse formula of Theorem 3.10. □

**Acknowledgments**

This paper is dedicated to Professor Yilmaz Simsek on the occasion of his 60th anniversary.

**Author Contributions:** This paper has only one author.

**Conflict of Interest:** The author declare that there is no ethical problem in the production of this paper.

**Funding (Financial Disclosure):** The author is supported by the Basic Science Research Program, the National Research Foundation of Korea, (NRF-2021R1F1A1050151).

**References**

[1] L. Carlitz, *Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers*, Util. Math. **15**, 51–88, 1979.  
 [2] A. Cayley, *On the analytical forms called trees-Part II*, Philos. Mag. **18**, 374–378, 1859.  
 [3] L. Comtet, *Advanced combinatorics: The art of finite and infinite expansions*, Dordrecht-Holland, Boston, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1974. (Translated from the French by J. W. Nienhuys).  
 [4] G. Dattoli, M. Migliorati and H. M. Srivastava, *Sheffer polynomials, monomiality principle, algebraic methods and the theory of classical polynomials*, Math. Comput. Model **45**, 1033–1041, 2007.  
 [5] R. Dere, Y. Simsek and H. M. Srivastava, *A unified presentation of three families of generalized Apostol type polynomials based upon the theory of the umbral calculus and the umbral algebra*, J. Number Theory **133**, 3245–3263, 2013.  
 [6] G. B. Djordjevic and G. V. Milovanovic, *Special classes of polynomials*, University of Nis, Faculty of Technology, Leskovac, 2014.  
 [7] O. Herscovici and T. Mansour, *Identities involving Touchard polynomials derived from umbral calculus*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang) **25** (1), 39–46, 2015.  
 [8] N. Kilar and Y. Simsek, *A new family of Fubini type numbers and polynomials associated with Apostol-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **54** (5), 1605–1621, 2017.  
 [9] N. Kilar and Y. Simsek, *Identities and relations for Fubini type numbers and polynomials via generating functions and p-adic integral approach*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **106** (120), 113–123, 2019.  
 [10] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, *Degenerate Sheffer sequence and  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **23**, 2020; Article ID: 124521.  
 [11] D. S. Kim, T. Kim, H.-I. Kwon and J.-W. Park, *Two variable higher-order Fubini polynomials*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **55** (4), 975–986, 2018.  
 [12] H. K. Kim, *Degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials arising from degenerate Sheffer sequences*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2020**, 2020; Article ID: 687.  
 [13] H. K. Kim and T. Kim, *The new Fubini-type numbers and polynomials*, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.23656.34566  
 [14] T. Kim, *A note on degenerate Stirling polynomials of the second kind*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **20** (3), 319–331, 2017.  
 [15] T. Kim, *A note on q-Volkenborn itegration*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **8** (1), 13–17, 2005.  
 [16] T. Kim, *On the analogs of Euler numbers and polynomials associated with p-adic q-integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  at  $q = -1$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **331**, 779–792, 2007.  
 [17] T. Kim, D. S. Kim and D. V. Dolgy, *On partially degenerate Bell numbers and polynomials*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **20** (3), 337–345, 2017.  
 [18] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, H. Y. Kim and H. Lee, *Some properties on degenerate Fubini polynomials*, Appl. Math. Sci. Eng. **30** (1), 235–248, 2022; <https://doi.org/10.1080/27690911.2022.2056169>.  
 [19] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, T. Mansour, S. H. Rim and M. Schork, *Umbral calculus and Sheffer sequences of polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (8), 2013; Article ID: 083504; DOI:10.1063/1.4817853.

- [20] I. Kucukoglu, *Derivative formulas related to unification of generating functions for Sheffer type sequences*, AIP Conference Proceedings **2116**, 2019; Article ID: 100016; <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5114092>.
- [21] H. Niederhausen, *Rota's umbral calculus and recursions*, Algebra Universalis **49** (4), 435–457, 2003.
- [22] S. Roman, *The Umbral Calculus*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [23] S. Roman and G. Rota, *The umbral calculus*, Adv. Math. **27**, 95–188, 1978.
- [24] K. Shiratani and S. Yokoyama, *An application of  $p$ -adic convolutions*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A. **36** (1), 73–83, 1982.
- [25] Y. Simsek, *Special numbers and polynomials including their generating functions in umbral analysis methods*, Axioms **22** (7), 2018; DOI:10.3390/axioms7020022
- [26] Y. Simsek, *Explicit formulas for  $p$ -adic integrals: approach to  $p$ -adic distributions and some families of special numbers and polynomials*, Montes Taurus J. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (1), 1–76, 2019.
- [27] D. Su and Y. He, *Some Identities for the two variable Fubini polynomials*, Mathematics **7** (2), 2019; Article ID: 115.
- [28] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich and E. I. Zelenov,  *$p$ -adic analysis and mathematical physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.